

# Solving equations in sequences: cans and cannots

---

Gleb Pogudin (LIX, CNRS, École Polytechnique, IPP)  
joint with A. Ovchinnikov, T. Scanlon, and M. Wibmer



# Big picture

**Difference** equations are used in combinatorics, number theory, and to model discrete-time processes, etc.

# Big picture

**Difference** equations are used in combinatorics, number theory, and to model discrete-time processes, etc.

Often, solutions in **sequences** are of interest.

# Big picture

**Difference** equations are used in combinatorics, number theory, and to model discrete-time processes, etc.

Often, solutions in **sequences** are of interest.

In this talk:

- algorithm for checking consistency of a system of equations (and elimination),
- undecidability results for almost anything beyond,
- and speculation.

# Part 1: Prologue

*Main characters and first obstacles*

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

- $f(x+1) = xf(x)$

Solution:  $\Gamma(x)$  in the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$



# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

- $f(x+1) = xf(x)$

Solution:  $\Gamma(x)$  in the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$

More formally:  $\sigma(f) = xf$

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

- $f(x+1) = xf(x)$

Solution:  $\Gamma(x)$  in the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$

More formally:  $\sigma(f) = xf$

- $\sigma(f) = 2f + 1$

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

- $f(x+1) = xf(x)$

Solution:  $\Gamma(x)$  in the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$

More formally:  $\sigma(f) = xf$

- $\sigma(f) = 2f + 1$

$\sigma$	Equation	Solution
shift on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$	$f_{n+1} = 2f_n + 1$	$\{\dots, 0, 1, 3, 7, \dots\}$

# Difference equations and their solutions

- $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$

Solution:  $\{\dots, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of sequences

More formally:  $\sigma^2(F) = \sigma(F) + F$

- $f(x+1) = xf(x)$

Solution:  $\Gamma(x)$  in the field of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$

More formally:  $\sigma(f) = xf$

- $\sigma(f) = 2f + 1$

$\sigma$	Equation	Solution
shift on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$	$f_{n+1} = 2f_n + 1$	$\{\dots, 0, 1, 3, 7, \dots\}$
shift on functions	$f(x+1) = 2f(x) + 1$	$2^x - 1$

# Functions vs. sequences

## Example

$$\begin{cases} f\sigma(f) = 0, \\ f + \sigma(f) = 1 \end{cases}$$

# Functions vs. sequences

## Example

$$\begin{cases} f\sigma(f) = 0, \\ f + \sigma(f) = 1 \end{cases}$$

- does not have a solution in meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$ ;

# Functions vs. sequences

## Example

$$\begin{cases} f\sigma(f) = 0, \\ f + \sigma(f) = 1 \end{cases}$$

- does not have a solution in meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  (more generally, any difference field);

# Functions vs. sequences

## Example

$$\begin{cases} f\sigma(f) = 0, \\ f + \sigma(f) = 1 \end{cases}$$

- does not have a solution in meromorphic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  (more generally, any difference field);
- has a solution  $f = \{\dots, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots\}$  if  $\sigma$  is a shift on  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .



# Sequences vs. Germs

## Germs

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{\text{sequences in } \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with finite support}\}$ , this is an **ideal**.

# Sequences vs. Germs

## Germs

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{\text{sequences in } \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with finite support}\}$ , this is an **ideal**.

**Ring of germs:**  $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathcal{I}$ .

# Sequences vs. Germs

## Germs

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{\text{sequences in } \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with finite support}\}$ , this is an **ideal**.

**Ring of germs:**  $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathcal{I}$ .

## Example

Consider  $f \cdot g = 0$  &  $f \neq 0$ .

# Sequences vs. Germs

## Germs

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{\text{sequences in } \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with finite support}\}$ , this is an **ideal**.

**Ring of germs:**  $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathcal{I}$ .

## Example

Consider  $f \cdot g = 0$  &  $f \neq 0$ .

- **in sequences:**  $g$  contains at least one zero;

# Sequences vs. Germs

## Germs

Let  $\mathcal{I} := \{\text{sequences in } \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} \text{ with finite support}\}$ , this is an **ideal**.

**Ring of germs:**  $\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}/\mathcal{I}$ .

## Example

Consider  $f \cdot g = 0$  &  $f \neq 0$ .

- **in sequences:**  $g$  contains at least one zero;
- **in germs:**  $g$  contains infinitely many zeros.

# Not an easy solution space!

## Theorem (Hrushovski, Point, 2007)

Problem:

- given a system of difference equations and inequations
- check if it has a solution.

Is **undecidable** both in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# Not an easy solution space!

## Theorem (Hrushovski, Point, 2007)

Problem:

- given a system of difference equations and inequations
- check if it has a solution.

Is **undecidable** both in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ .

## Glimpse under the hood

Encoding diophantine equations:

$$f \cdot g = 0 \ \& \ g \neq 0 \ \& \ (f - \sigma(f))^2 = 1$$

implies that  $f$  consists of integers.

# Not an easy solution space!

## Theorem (Hrushovski, Point, 2007)

Problem:

- given a system of difference equations and inequations
- check if it has a solution.

Is **undecidable** both in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ .

## Glimpse under the hood

Encoding diophantine equations:

$$f \cdot g = 0 \ \& \ g \neq 0 \ \& \ (f - \sigma(f))^2 = 1$$

implies that  $f$  consists of integers. Then

$$h = \sigma(h) \ \& \ (h - f) \cdot e = 0 \ \& \ e \neq 0$$

implies that  $h$  is a constant integer sequence.



## Part 2: Cans

*Consistency and elimination*

joint with A. Ovchinnikov and T. Scanlon

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01412>

# Consistency problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$
- **Check** if it has a solution.

# Consistency problem

- Given a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$
- Check if it has a solution.

Question: solution where?

$\mathbb{C}^Z$  or nothing

## Theorem (Ovchinnikov, Pogudin, Scanlon, 2020)

System of difference equations

over a constant field  $k$  has

a solution in some difference ring

$\implies$

It has a solution in  $\bar{k}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

# How to detect inconsistency?

## Example

Does there exist a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+4} = a_n, \\ a_{n+5} = a_n + 1 \end{cases} \quad ?$$

# How to detect inconsistency?

## Example

Does there exist a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+4} = a_n, \\ a_{n+5} = a_n + 1 \end{cases} \quad ?$$

NO because

$$a_{20} = a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{20} = a_0 + 4.$$

# How to detect inconsistency?

## Example

Does there exist a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+4} = a_n, \\ a_{n+5} = a_n + 1 \end{cases} \quad ?$$

NO because

$$a_{20} = a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{20} = a_0 + 4.$$

**Idea:** no “finite” solution  $\implies$  no solution.



# How to detect inconsistency?

## Example

Does there exist a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} a_{n+4} = a_n, \\ a_{n+5} = a_n + 1 \end{cases} \quad ?$$

NO because

$$a_{20} = a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{20} = a_0 + 4.$$

**Idea:** no “finite” solution  $\implies$  no solution. Converse? Bound?

# Converse — yes

## Theorem (OPS, 2020)

Finite solution of any length  $\implies$  infinite solution.

# Converse — yes

## Theorem (OPS, 2020)

Finite solution of any length  $\implies$  infinite solution.

## Small $\neq$ easy

Surprisingly hard over “small” fields (e.g.,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , not  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

## Theorem (OPS, 2020)

Finite solution of any length  $\implies$  infinite solution.

## Small $\neq$ easy

Surprisingly hard over “small” fields (e.g.,  $\mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , not  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

Involves

- parts of the proof of bound (coming soon);
- nonstandard Frobenius as a model of ACFA.

# Algorithm: first try

**Idea:** difference equations  $\implies$  polynomial equations  
via **prolongation**

# Algorithm: first try

**Idea:** difference equations  $\implies$  polynomial equations  
via **prolongation**

## Possible approach

1. Apply shift  $n \mapsto n + 1$  (a **prolongation**) to the system
2. Check consistency of the polynomial system
3. If not succeed, go to Step 1.

# Algorithm: first try

**Idea:** difference equations  $\implies$  polynomial equations  
via **prolongation**

## Possible approach

1. Apply shift  $n \mapsto n + 1$  (a **prolongation**) to the system
2. Check consistency of the polynomial system
3. If not succeed, go to Step 1.

**Half-solution:** can detect inconsistency but not consistency.

# Bound for the number of prolongations

## Theorem (OPS, 2020)

If the system is inconsistent, this will be detected after at most

$$N = B(d, D)$$

prolongations, where

- D** the degree of the system,
- d** the dimension of the system.

$$B(d, D) = \begin{cases} D + 1, & \text{if } d = 0, \\ 2 + D^2 + \frac{D(D-1)(D-2)}{6}, & \text{if } d = 1, \\ B(d-1, D) + D^{B(d-1, D)}. & \end{cases}$$



## Example (sharpness for $d = 0$ )

Theorem implies that  $B(0, D) = D + 1$ .

## Example (sharpness for $d = 0$ )

Theorem implies that  $B(0, D) = D + 1$ .

This value is achieved on the elimination problem of  $x_i$  in

$$\begin{cases} x_{i+1} = x_i + 1, \\ x_i \cdot (x_i - 1) \cdots (x_i - D + 1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

# How to detect consistency?

## Example (periodicity)

$$a_n(a_n - 1)(a_n - 2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{n+1} - a_n)^2 = 1.$$

There is a periodic solution

# How to detect consistency?

## Example (periodicity)

$$a_n(a_n - 1)(a_n - 2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{n+1} - a_n)^2 = 1.$$

There is a periodic solution  $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots$

# How to detect consistency?

## Example (periodicity)

$$a_n(a_n - 1)(a_n - 2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{n+1} - a_n)^2 = 1.$$

There is a periodic solution  $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots$

**Idea:** prove there is always a periodic solution + bound the period

# How to detect consistency?

## Example (periodicity)

$$a_n(a_n - 1)(a_n - 2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{n+1} - a_n)^2 = 1.$$

There is a periodic solution  $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots$

**Idea:** prove there is always a periodic solution + bound the period

**BUT**  $a_{n+1} = a_n + 1$  does not have periodic solutions

# How to detect consistency?

## Example (periodicity)

$$a_n(a_n - 1)(a_n - 2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{n+1} - a_n)^2 = 1.$$

There is a periodic solution  $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots$

**Idea:** prove there is always a periodic solution + bound the period

**BUT**  $a_{n+1} = a_n + 1$  does not have periodic solutions

**Next idea:** allow solutions to contain “high-dimensional points”

For example:  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A} + 1$ , so  $\{a_n\} = \{\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}, \dots\}$  is a periodic solution

# Picture

We can bring every system to a form

$$(a_n + b_n)(a_n - b_n) = 0$$

$$b_{n+1} = a_n$$

– nonlinear but no shifts

– with a shift but linear



# Conjectures/expectations

- Seems that the main issue — combinatorics;

# Conjectures/expectations

- Seems that the main issue — combinatorics;
- Sharpness in  $d = 0$  and bound for  $d = 1$  indicate that the worst case is a union of hyperplanes;

# Conjectures/expectations

- Seems that the main issue — combinatorics;
- Sharpness in  $d = 0$  and bound for  $d = 1$  indicate that the worst case is a union of hyperplanes;
- Possible approach
  - consider the “worst” case, union of hypersurfaces, get lower bounds;
  - employ deformation argument to reduce general case to unions of hyperplanes.

## Part 3: Cannots

*Implications, grids,  $\mathbb{R}$*

joint with T. Scanlon and M. Wibmer

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.03239>

# Checking implication

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  and one more equation  $g = 0$
- **Check** if  $g = 0$  holds for any solution of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$ .

# Checking implication

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  and one more equation  $g = 0$
- **Check** if  $g = 0$  holds for any solution of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$ .

## Connection to Hrushovski-Point

Problem above  $\iff$  consistency of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0 \ \& \ g \neq 0$

# Checking implication

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  and one more equation  $g = 0$
- **Check** if  $g = 0$  holds for any solution of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$ .

## Connection to Hrushovski-Point

Problem above  $\iff$  consistency of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  &  $g \neq 0$

In Hrushovski-Point case **many** inequations, here **just one**.

# Checking implication

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  and one more equation  $g = 0$
- **Check** if  $g = 0$  holds for any solution of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$ .

## Connection to Hrushovski-Point

Problem above  $\iff$  consistency of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  &  $g \neq 0$

In Hrushovski-Point case **many** inequations, here **just one**.

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

If solutions are sought in sequences, the problem is undecidable.



# Checking implication

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  and one more equation  $g = 0$
- **Check** if  $g = 0$  holds for any solution of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$ .

## Connection to Hrushovski-Point

Problem above  $\iff$  consistency of  $f_1 = \dots = f_\ell = 0$  &  $g \neq 0$

In Hrushovski-Point case **many** inequations, here **just one**.

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

If solutions are sought in sequences, the problem is undecidable.

**Idea:** system  $\implies$  piece-wise polynomial map  $\implies$   
enumerating tuples of integers  $\implies$  diophantine equations

# Consistency over reals

## Problem

- Given a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{R}$
- Check if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

# Consistency over reals

## Problem

- Given a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{R}$
- Check if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

The problem is undecidable.

# Consistency over reals

## Problem

- Given a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{R}$
- Check if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

The problem is undecidable.

## About the proof

In Hrushovski-Point: inequations used for “ $\{a_n\}$  contains infinitely many zeroes”. We do this in  $\mathbb{R}$  (with Lagrange four-square theorem!).

# Equations on grids

Consider equations with two shifts like

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{m-1,n} + a_{m,n-1} + a_{m+1,n} + a_{m,n+1}).$$

# Equations on grids

Consider equations with two shifts like

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{m-1,n} + a_{m,n-1} + a_{m+1,n} + a_{m,n+1}).$$

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$  with two shifts
- **Check** if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ .

# Equations on grids

Consider equations with two shifts like

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{m-1,n} + a_{m,n-1} + a_{m+1,n} + a_{m,n+1}).$$

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$  with two shifts
- **Check** if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ .

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

The problem is undecidable.

# Equations on grids

Consider equations with two shifts like

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{m-1,n} + a_{m,n-1} + a_{m+1,n} + a_{m,n+1}).$$

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$  with two shifts
- **Check** if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ .

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

The problem is undecidable.

## About the proof

Reduction to the domino tiling problem.



# Equations on grids

Consider equations with two shifts like

$$a_{m,n} = \frac{1}{4}(a_{m-1,n} + a_{m,n-1} + a_{m+1,n} + a_{m,n+1}).$$

## Problem

- **Given** a system of difference equations over  $\mathbb{C}$  with two shifts
- **Check** if it has a solution in  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ .

## Theorem (PSW, 2020)

The problem is undecidable.

## About the proof

Reduction to the domino tiling problem.

**Remark:** similar result if the sequences are indexed by a free monoid.

# End of the story? Of course, no

- The undecidability-of-implication proof does not work for germs.  
Still decidable?

## End of the story? Of course, no

- The undecidability-of-implication proof does not work for germs. Still decidable?
- Many interesting algebraically closed field:  $p$ -adics,  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , etc

# End of the story? Of course, no

- The undecidability-of-implication proof does not work for germs. Still decidable?
- Many interesting algebraically closed field:  $p$ -adics,  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ , etc
- Shink the class of sequences: the ones that may “come from discretization”?

# Summary

- Cold start: known undecidability of equations + inequations

# Summary

- Cold start: known undecidability of equations + inequations
- New hope: algorithm for equations  
(+ bound, + universality, + elimination)

# Summary

- Cold start: known undecidability of equations + inequations
- New hope: algorithm for equations  
(+ bound, + universality, + elimination)
- Understanding the limits: undecidability for implications, reals, equations on grids

# Summary

- Cold start: known undecidability of equations + inequations
- New hope: algorithm for equations  
(+ bound, + universality, + elimination)
- Understanding the limits: undecidability for implications, reals, equations on grids
- Still many promising directions!



# Thank you!

Looking for a PhD student: `http:`

`//www.lix.polytechnique.fr/Labo/Gleb.POGUDIN/phd-occam/`