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Linear homogeneous recurrence equations with polynomial coefficients and systems of such equations play a significant role in combinatorics and in the theory of hypergeometric functions; the question of the dimension of the space of solutions of such systems is of great importance for many problems.

Let $E_{n_{i}}$ be the corresponding shift operators acting on functions (sequences) of $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}$ by $E_{n_{i}} f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}\right)=$ $f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}+1, \ldots, n_{d}\right), i=1, \ldots, d$.

Definition 1. An $H$-system is $a$ system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}+1, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \\
=g_{i}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)  \tag{1}\\
i=1,2, \ldots, d,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{i}, g_{i} \in \mathbb{C}\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right] \backslash\{0\}$ and $f_{i}, g_{i}$ are coprime. We say that a $d$-variate sequence $T$ (i.e., a complex function defined on a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ) is a solution of (1) if (1) is satisfied at all those $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$ in the domain of $T$ for which $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i}+1, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$ belongs to the domain of $T$ as well. We call a hypergeometric term any solution $T$ of an $H$-system.

The prefix " $H$ " in the name " $H$-system" refers to "hypergeometric" and to Jakob Horn, who, factually, defined a $d$-variate hypergeometric series as a $d$-variate Laurent series, whose coefficients form a hypergeometric term.

The notion of singular points (singularities) of such systems can be defined in the usual way. Such singularities make obstacles (sometimes, insuperable) for continuation of partial solutions of the system on all of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.

Definition 2. Let $H$ be an $H$-system of the form (1).
A $d$-tuple $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is a singularity of $H$ if there exists $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$, such that $f_{i}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{i-1}, n_{i}-1\right.$, $\left.n_{i+1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) g_{i}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)=0$.

Let $S(H)$ denote the set of all integer singularities of $H$. Denote by $V_{1}(H)$ the $\mathbb{C}$-linear space of all solutions of $H$

[^0]which are defined at all elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, and by $V_{2}(H)$ the $\mathbb{C}$-linear space of all solutions of $H$ which are defined at all elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash S(H)$.

Sometimes, we will drop the name of the $H$-system and will write $V_{1}, V_{2}$ instead of $V_{1}(H), V_{2}(H)$.

We investigate the dimensions of the spaces $V_{1}, V_{2}$. It is well known [1] that, if (in the case $d=1$ ) one considers the germs of sequences at infinity (i.e., classes of sequences which agree from some point on), then the dimension of the solution space is 1 . However, the situation is different with $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}$.

When $d=1$, the system (1) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(n) T(n+1)=g(n) T(n), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(n), g(n) \in \mathbb{C}[n] \backslash\{0\}$ and $f(n), g(n)$ are coprime. We prove for the case $d=1$ the following.

Theorem 1. Let $S$ denote the set of singularities of Eq. (2).
(a) If $S=\varnothing$, then $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=1$.
(b) If $S \neq \varnothing$, then $1 \leq \operatorname{dim} V_{1}<\operatorname{dim} V_{2}<\infty$, and, for any integers $s$, $t$ such that $1 \leq s<t$, there exists an equation of the form (2) such that $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=s$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=t$.

For example, for the recurrence $T(n+1)=\prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(n-$ $2 i+1) T(n), k \geq 1$, we have $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=1, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=k$ (we use the convention that a product is 1 if its lower limit exceeds its upper limit). For $q_{k}(n+1) T(n+1)=$ $q_{k}(n) T(n)$, where $k \geq 1$ and $q_{k}(n)=\prod_{i=0}^{k-2}(n+2 i+1)$, we have $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=k, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=k+1$.

We show that, in the case $d>1$, the possibilities are even richer.

Theorem 2. For any $d>1$ and $1 \leq s, t \leq \infty$, there exists an $H$-system such that $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=s$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=t$.

For example, $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=\infty, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=1$ for the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}+1\right) T\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}\right)=\left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), \\
& \left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}-4\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1\right)=\left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

while $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=1, \operatorname{dim} V_{2}=1$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}\right) T\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}\right)=\left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}+1\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), \\
& \left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1\right)=\left(n_{1}-4 n_{2}-4\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=\infty$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n_{1}-n_{2}-1\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{2}+1\right) T\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{2}+2\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \\
& \left(n_{1}-n_{2}-1\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{2}+1\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1\right) \\
& \quad=\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{2}-2\right) T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3. Rational functions $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{d} \in$ $\mathbb{C}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$ are consistent if

$$
\left(E_{n_{j}} F_{i}\right) F_{j}=F_{i}\left(E_{n_{i}} F_{j}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq d$.
We revisit the Sato-Ore theorem [2-4] which describes the structure of consistent rational functions. We show that, contrary to some interpretations in the literature (e.g., [5, 6]), this theorem does not imply that every solution of an $H$-system (even when the solution is defined everywhere on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ ) is of the form

$$
R\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{i 1} n_{1}+\ldots+a_{i d} n_{d}+\alpha_{i}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma\left(b_{j 1} n_{1}+\ldots+b_{j d} n_{d}+\beta_{j}\right)}
$$

where $R \in \mathbb{C}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right), a_{i k}, b_{j k} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$.
Let $A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right|$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) A\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}\right)=\left(n_{1}-n_{2}+1\right) A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), \\
& \left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1\right)=\left(n_{1}-n_{2}-1\right) A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is a hypergeometric term. However, as we prove, $A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is not of the form (3). Notice that generating function of $A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is rational

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0}\left|n_{1}-n_{2}\right| z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}} \\
=\left(\frac{z_{1}}{\left(1-z_{1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{z_{2}}{\left(1-z_{2}\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{1-z_{1} z_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

It may be the case that, in the literature referred to above, a hypergeometric term $T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is implicitly assumed to be nonzero for all $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. This possibility is supported by the fact that, e.g., in [5], the corresponding $H$-system is given in terms of the two quotients (rational functions) $T\left(n_{1}+1, n_{2}\right) / T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ and $T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}+1\right) / T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ (Horn, factually, used the same way). But such a severe restriction would preclude many important functions from being hypergeometric,
such as the binomial coefficient $T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\binom{n_{2}}{n_{2}}$, and all polynomials with integer roots. However, if we do not adopt this restriction, then there are hypergeometric terms which cannot be written in the form (3), as illustrated by the hypergeometric term $A\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$.

Finally, we give an appropriate corollary of the OreSato theorem on possible forms of solutions of systems under consideration.

Definition 4. Call the two $d$-tuples $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$, $\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{d}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ adjacent if $\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|n_{i}-n_{i}^{\prime}\right|=1$. Call a finite sequence $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ a path from $t_{1}$ to $t_{k}$ if $t_{i}$ is adjacent to $t_{i+1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k-1$.

Given an $H$-system $H$, we define components induced by $H$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ as the equivalence classes of the following equivalence relation $\sim$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{d}: t^{\prime} \sim t^{\prime \prime}$ iff there exists a path from $t^{\prime}$ to $t^{\prime \prime}$ which contains no singularity of $H$. If $T$ is a solution of an $H$-system $H$, then its constituent is the sequence that is the restriction of $T$ on a component induced by $H$.

We call a set $M \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ algebraic if there is a nonzero polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{d}\right]$ such that $p\left(n_{1}\right.$, $\left.n_{2}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)=0$ for all $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{d}\right) \in M$.

Corollary. Any constituent of a hypergeometric term $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}\right)$ whose domain is a nonalgebraic component of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ induced by the original system is of the form (3).
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