## On modifications of finite non-cooperative games with a convex structure

## E.G.Gol'shtejn

CEMI RAN, golshtn@cemi.rssi.ru

Let  $X_i$  be a nonempty subset of a Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}_i$ ,  $f_i$  a function taking finite real values and defined over the set X, which is the direct product of the subsets  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ , and  $\mathbf{E}$  the direct product of the Euclidean spaces  $\mathbf{E}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{E}_k$ ,  $k \ge 2$ . Introduce a non-cooperative game  $\Gamma$  with k players by defining a set of strategies  $X_i$  and a payoff function  $f_i$  for each player i,  $1 \le i \le k$ .

We say that the game  $\Gamma$  is *convex* if the function  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , is continuous on its domain  $\{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k): x_s \in X_s, 1 \leq s \leq k, x_i \in \tilde{X}_i\}$  and concave with respect to  $x_i \in \tilde{X}_i$  for any fixed  $x_s \in X_s$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq k, s \neq i$ , where  $\tilde{X}_i$  is a convex open set comprising  $X_i$ . Let  $X^*(\Gamma)$  be the set of all Nash equilibrium points of the game  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a convex game then the set of its Nash equilibrum points is non-empty:  $X^*(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ . However, the convexity of the game  $\Gamma$  does not generally imply that the set  $X^*(\Gamma)$  is convex, too.

The problem of finding the Nash equilibrium points of the game  $\Gamma$  can be reduced to the solution of a special variational inequality related to  $\Gamma$ . Let T be a point-to-set mapping that associates a subset T(x) of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{E}$  with each point  $x \in X$ . The variational inequality in question defined by the mapping T has the form,

$$t \in T(x), \quad \langle t, x' - x \rangle \ge 0 \quad \forall \quad x' \in X.$$
 (1)

Denote the set of all solutions to the variational inequality (1) by  $\overline{X}^*(T)$ .

In order to reduce the Nash equilibrium problem of the convex game  $\Gamma$  to the solution of the variational inequality (1), we associate the game  $\Gamma$  with a pointto-set mapping  $T_{\Gamma}$  defined by the following relationship

$$T_{\Gamma}(x) = \{ t = (t_1, \dots, t_k) : -t_i \in \partial_{x_i} f_i(x), \ 1 \le i \le k \}, \ (2)$$

 $x \in X$ , where  $\partial_{x_i} f_i(x)$  is the superdifferential of the function  $f_i$  with respect to  $x_i$  calculated at the point  $x \in X$ .

The definitions of the Nash equilibrium and the solution of the variational inequality (1) imply that the set  $X^*(\Gamma)$  of the Nash equilibrium points of the game  $\Gamma$  coincides with the set  $\overline{X}^*(T_{\Gamma})$  of the solutions to the variational inequality (1) if  $T = T_{\Gamma}$ . Therefore, the methods solving the variational inequality (1) defined by the mapping  $T_{\Gamma}$  can be used to find the Nash equilibrium points of the non-cooperative game  $\Gamma$ . In [1, 2], we described a sufficiently efficient numerical method to solve the variational inequality (1), which, under some additional assumptions about the mapping T, generates sequences of iterations converging to the set  $\overline{X}^*(T)$ .

We say that a convex non-cooperative game  $\Gamma$  has a *convex structure* if the mapping  $T_{\Gamma}$  defined by (2) is monotone. If the game  $\Gamma$  has a convex structure then the mapping  $T = T_{\Gamma}$  satisfies the requirements guaranteeing the convergence of the above-mentioned numerical method to solve the variational inequality (1).

Now consider a modification  $\Gamma(R)$  of the convex game  $\Gamma$  defined by the following payoff functions of the players:

$$f_i(x, R_i) = f_i(x) - \frac{R_i}{2} ||x_i||^2, \ x \in X, \ 1 \le i \le k, \ (3)$$

where  $R = (R_1, \ldots, R_k) \ge 0$ , and  $f_i(x)$   $(x \in X)$  is the payoff function of player *i* in the game  $\Gamma$ .

It is interesting to find out for what values of the vector parameter R the convex game  $\Gamma(R)$  has a convex structure. This talk answers this question for finite non-cooperative games  $\Gamma$  with mixed strategies.

Each player has a finite number of strategies in a finite non-cooperative game. To describe such games, it is worthy to make use of tables, every entry of which is numerated with several indices (namely, kindices, according to the number of players). Such tables are sometimes referred to as k-dimensional ones. We denote a k-dimensional table A by  $A = (a_{s_1s_2...s_k})_{n_1n_2...n_k}$ , where  $s_{\alpha}$  is the  $\alpha$ -th index running the integers from 1 to  $n_{\alpha}$ . Here,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ , and  $a_{s_1s_2...s_k}$  is the entry of the table A defined with k indices taking values  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k$ , respectively. Now let us examine a finite non-cooperative game with k players, in which player i governs  $n_i$  startegies, while her payoff function is determined by a k-dimensional table  $A_i = (a_{s_1s_2...s_k}^{(i)})_{n_1n_2...n_k}$ , where  $a_{s_1s_2...s_k}^{(i)}$  is the payoff to player i if player  $\alpha$  selects strategy  $s_{\alpha}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq k$ . If we expand the players' strategy sets by allowing mixed strategies, then we come to a game  $\Gamma$  with k players, in which

$$X_{i} = \left\{ x_{i} = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in_{i}}): \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} x_{ij} = 1, \\ x_{ij} \ge 0, \ j = 1, \dots, n_{i} \right\},$$

$$f_{i}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} a_{s_{1}\dots s_{k}}^{(i)} x_{1s_{1}} \cdots x_{ks_{k}}, \ 1 \le i \le k,$$

$$(4)$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_k.$$

Necessary and sufficient requirements toward the tables  $A_i$  such that the finite game  $\Gamma$  defined with these tables has a convex structure, were described in the previous papers [3, 4]. These conditions make it clear that the subclass of finite games with a convex structure is extremely narrow. For instance, when k = 2, a convex structure is found only in such finite bi-matrix games, for which the aggregate players' payoffs matrix  $A = A_1 + A_2$  can be represented in the following form:

$$A = (a_{s_1 s_2})_{n_1 n_2} = (\alpha'_{s_1} + \alpha''_{s_2})_{n_1 n_2}.$$
 (5)

If a bi-matrix game  $\Gamma$  defined by the matrices  $A_i = (a_{s_1s_2})_{n_1n_2}$ , i = 1, 2, satisfies condition (5), then it is easy to check that its set of Nash equilibrium points coincides with the set of saddle points of the antagonistic game  $\Gamma$  of two players (the matrix game) defined by the matrix  $\overline{A}_1 = (\overline{a}_{s_1s_2}^{(1)})_{n_1n_2} = (a_{s_1s_2}^{(1)} - \alpha_{s_2}')_{n_1n_2}$ . Due to this fact, we will refer to a bi-matrix game satisfying (5) as an *almost-matrix* game. Hence, the class of bi-matrix games with a convex structure consists of the almost-matrix games and thus does not practically differ from the class of matrix games.

Now let  $A = (a_{ij})_{nm}$  be an arbitrary matrix with m rows and n columns,  $u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}/n$ ,  $v_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij}/m$ ,  $\overline{a} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}/(mn)$ . Denote

$$r(A) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_{ij} - u_i - v_j + \overline{a})^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (6)

As it is demonstrated in [3], the nonnegative quantity r(A) can be interpreted as the degree of the best Euclidean approximation of a matrix A by the matrices of the form  $(\alpha'_i + \alpha''_j)_{mn}$ .

Consider a game  $\Gamma = \Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k)$  defined, according to (4), by the collection of tables  $A_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq$  k. Due to (3), we will refer to the game  $\Gamma(R) = \Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k, R)$ , in which player *i* has the payoff function

$$f_i(x_1, \dots, x_k, R_i) = \sum_{s_1 \dots s_k} a_{s_1 \dots s_k}^{(i)} x_{is_1} \cdots x_{ks_k} -\frac{R_i}{2} \|x_i\|^2, \ x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in_i}) \in X_i, \ 1 \le i \le k,$$
(7)

as a modification of the game  $\Gamma$ ; here,  $R = (R_1, \ldots, R_k) \ge 0$  is the vector parameter of the modification.

For each pair (i, j),  $i \neq j$ , of players of a finite game  $\Gamma$ , we understand by s(i, j) a collection of (pure) strategies of the remaining k-2 players. Denote the set of all such collections by S(i, j). It is evident that S(i, j) is contains  $\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < k, \ \alpha \neq i, j} n_{\alpha}$  elements. We denote by  $\Gamma(s(i, j))$  a bi-matrix game generated by the game  $\Gamma$ , which comprises players i, j from the game  $\Gamma$ , whereas the remaining k-2 players have fixed pure strategies  $s(i, j) \in S(i, j)$ . Let  $A_{ij}(s(i, j))$  be the aggregate players' payoff matrix in the bi-matrix game  $\Gamma(s(i, j))$ . For arbitrary i, j  $(1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq k, i \neq j)$ , define

$$r_{ij} = \max_{s(i,j) \in S(i,j)} r(A_{ij}(s(i,j)),$$
(8)

where r(A) for any matrix A is determined by relationship (6). Clearly,  $r_{ij} = r_{ji}$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k)$  be a finite non-cooperative k player game,  $k \geq 2$ , defined by (4) with the tables  $A_i$ , and  $\Gamma(R) = \Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k, R)$  be a modification of the game  $\Gamma$ , defined by (7),  $R_i^* =$  $0, 5 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k, \ j \neq i} r_{ij}$ , where the numbers  $r_{ij}$  are given by formula (8),  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $R = (R_1, \ldots, R_k)$ ,  $R^* =$  $(R_1^*, \ldots, R_k^*)$ . Then the modified game  $\Gamma(R)$  has a convex structure if  $R \geq R^*$ .

The latter result is demonstrated for k = 2 in [3], whereas the case k > 2 is examined in [5]. Making use of theorem 1 one can establish another necessary and sufficient condition guaranteeing the existence of a convex structure in a finite non-cooperative game  $\Gamma =$  $\Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k)$ .

**Theorem 2.** A game  $\Gamma = \Gamma(A_1, \ldots, A_k)$  has a convex structure if, and only if for any  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $s(i, j) \in S(i, j)$ , the bi-matrix game  $\Gamma(s(i, j))$  is an almost-matrix game.

## Acknowledgements

The work has been financially supported by the RFBR, grant No. 09–01-00156.

## References

[1] E. G. Gol'shtejn A method to solve variational inequalities defined by monotone mappings. Zhurnal vychislitel'noj matematiki i matematicheskoj fiziki (Comput. Math. and Mathem. Physics), 2002, V. 42, N.7 (*in Russian*).

- [2] E. G. Gol'shtejn it A method to solve variational inequalities with inexact input data ishodnye dannye. Ekonomika i matematicheskie metody (Economics and Mathematical Methods), 2008, V. 44, N. 3 (*in Russian*).
- [3] E. G. Gol'shtejn On the monotonicity of a mapping associated with the non-antagonistic two person game. Ekonomika i matematicheskie metody (Economics and Mathematical Methods), 2008, V. 44, N. 4 (in Russian).
- [4] E. G. Gol'shtejn On the monotonicity of a mapping associated with the non-cooperative multiplayer game. Zhurnal vychislitel'noj matematiki i matematicheskoj fiziki (Comput. Math. and Mathem. Physics), 2009, V. 49, N. 9 (in Russian).
- [5] E. G. Gol'shtejn On modifications of the finte non-cooperative game having a convex structure. Ekonomika i matematicheskie metody (Economics and Mathematical Methods), 2010, V. 46, N. 4 (in Russian).