

# A Survey on Contact Algorithms<sup>1</sup>

©Nicolai G. Bourago

Institute for Problems in Mechanics of Russian Academy of Sciences  
prospect Vernadskogo 101, b1, 119529, Moscow  
e-mail: burago@ipmnet.ru

## Abstract

Most of natural and technological processes deal with interfacial boundaries between non-mixing media of rigid, solid, liquid or gas nature. Present work aims to make a brief survey on contact algorithms developed worldwide during 40 recent years for calculation of contact, free and phase transition boundaries.

## Introduction

A contact algorithm is a part of solution method, which is responsible for detection, tracking and calculation of contact, free or phase transition boundaries.

Contact algorithms can be separated into two major groups regarding the Lagrangian and Eulerian descriptions of continuum motion. In Lagrangian algorithms the nodes move with continuum, in Eulerian algorithms the nodes stay in place while the continuum moves through the stationary mesh or through Eulerian coordinate system. Non-Lagrangian algorithms deal with convection (advection) effect.

In both Lagrangian and Eulerian cases the contact (interfacial) boundaries can be treated explicitly (interface tracking algorithms) as a set of connected lagrangian surface nodes (markers) and cells, or implicitly by using some contact (interface) capturing techniques based on various implementations of continuous Lagrangian marker functions.

This classification is used as a skeleton for the survey. An additional review on special problem oriented contact algorithms, parallel processing and error analysis is also presented. The minimal set of references includes only some key and recent publications, which contain further references. To save paper space instead of citation we submit multiple links to known related bibliography collections.

Compared to regular initial boundary value problem the statement of contact problems involves special boundary constraints, which govern the interface motion and possible singularities.

For classical contact problems the constraints express non-penetration (unilateral) condition, third Newton's law and law of surface friction. The normal contact condition prevents penetration of one body into another and the tangential slip represents frictional behavior of a contact surface. Extended physical formulation includes contact boundary conditions for thermal, electro-magnetic phenomena, diffusion and so on.

Additional contact relevant cases of free and phase transition boundaries are also under consideration here. A free surface is a Lagrangian interface between a dense media (liquid

---

<sup>1</sup>Proceedings of Workshop "Grid Generation: Theory and Applications". Edited by S.A Ivanenko & V.A.Garanzha, Computing Centre of RAS, Moscow, July, 2002

or solid) and a gas. Action of the gas is represented by external surface forces and its low density is neglected. At the free boundary a surface tension can take place. In contrast to contact and free boundaries the phase transition boundary is not Lagrangian and therefore in such a case problem formulation includes phase equilibrium relations, which define the non-Lagrangian interface motion.

A variety of possible formulations of differential, integral or variational type can be used. Among others the formulations in the form of variational inequalities serve to investigate the correctness of initial boundary value contact problems, reviews presented in (Lions, 1978; Kravchuk, 1997; Sadovskii, 1991, 1997, Kondaurou, 2002).

Numerical-analytical methods for contact problems, based on continuous approximations, include a great community of mathematical techniques such as asymptotic expansion, perturbation, singular integral equation, integral transformation methods and many others. Very fresh huge collections of reviews of such methods can be found in (Alexandrov, Vorovich (Eds.), 2001). In most cases numerical-analytical studies deal with simplified physically and geometrically formulations.

Current survey considers discrete numerical methods, which use grids or free nodes (Lagrangian particles) and are based on finite or quasi-finite approximations. Regarding the choice of problem settings and solution approximations the following general discrete techniques are typical: finite differences, finite volumes, finite elements, meshfree Galerkin, boundary elements. Compared to mentioned above numerical-analytical methods, the discrete methods are less restrictive in respect of problem formulations, which can be successfully implemented. Relative surveys can be found in (Hyman, 1984; Benson, 1992; Kothe et al., 1998; Fomin et al., 1999; Gil'manov, 2000; Osher, Tryggvason, 2001) and others, which are referred later.

## 1. Lagrangian interface tracking algorithms

Reviews of Lagrangian interface tracking algorithms can be found in (Oden, Martins, 1985; Kikuchi, Oden, 1986; Benson, 1992; Zhong, 1993; Fomin et al., 1999; Oishi, 1999; Diekman, 2000; Laursen, 2002).

In most of dynamic incremental (step by step in time) Lagrangian algorithms the contact boundaries are detected by the penetration of boundary nodes through alien boundary cells which may happen after predictor time step, which is calculated neglecting the contact.

The penetration takes place if a boundary cell is intersected with a nodal track. Alternative way of contact zone detection selects the boundary contacting pair "boundary node - alien boundary cell", if they are close enough to each other (much less than local spatial cell size).

On the corrector time step (or correction stage) the non-penetration constraints are taken into account. A lot of contact algorithms use Lagrange multiplier or penalty function techniques. The contact pressure (normal contact reaction force) plays the role of Lagrange multiplier for unilateral constraint. In case of penalty method the contact pressure is proportional to penetration (gap) in normal direction with large coefficient of proportionality (penalization of unilateral constraint). Description of algorithms and reviews can be

found, for example, in (Hallquist, 1976, 1998; Fux, 1976; Hughes et al., 1976; Kikuchi, Oden, 1986; Bourago, 1987; Gulidov, Shabalin, 1987; Bourago, Kukudzhanov, 1988; Benson, 1992; Fomin et al., 1999; Korobeinikov, 2000). See our extended survey (Bourago, Kukudzhanov, 2002a) for more complete script of publications and history of studies.

There are a number of alternative techniques of satisfaction the unilateral constraint. Simplified sliding algorithms of Wilkins family (Wilkins, 1964), local inelastic collision algorithms (Korneev, Shugalev, 1986) and many others. Transient hyperbolic contact problems can be effectively calculated with methods of characteristics (Kukudzhanov, 1985; Kondaurov, Petrov, Holodov, 1986) and with Godunov methods using solution of Riemann problem (Godunov et al., 1976; Bychek, Sadovskii, 1997; Sadovskii, 1998).

It should be mentioned that Lagrangian tracking of contact boundaries of solids and non wetting liquids needs a number of iterations because contact zone is unknown until the solution is obtained. It means that contact problems are nonlinear even in the cases of physically and geometrically linear problem formulation.

Detection of contact zone is a quite expensive procedure in the case of high resolution grids. In simplest contact pair search algorithm the penetration should be checked for each boundary node and cell. The amount of required calculations in such trivial contact search algorithm is proportional to second power of the boundary nodes number. Several enhanced contact search algorithms were developed to decrease required number of penetration checks. These improved contact search algorithms (Benson, 1992; Belytschko, Yeh, 1993; Oldenburg, Nilsson, 1994; Wang, Nakamashi, 1997; Petocz, Armero, 1998; Diekman et al., 2000) implement hierarchical cluster (group) search principle. Boundary nodes are united into groups of neighboring nodes. small groups are united into bigger groups. Search is organized as global selection of possible contact partners firstly among most big groups, then among smaller groups and so on. Final local search assumes selection of contact pairs "node - surface element" within previously chosen smallest groups.

Contact search gap function algorithm (Hirota et al., 2001) stays apart and should be mentioned separately. The gap function is calculated for each grid node as a distance to the boundary. For boundary nodes it takes zero values. If grid overlapping happens then boundary node-intruder has two various values of gap function: first value is its own (zero) and second value corresponds to its position inside some grid cell. This second value is proportional to the distance to the boundary while local gap function anti-gradient defines the boundary external normal vector. The idea can be treated as a variant of the level set method (Sethian, 1999) for Lagrangian formulation.

Explicit Lagrangian contact algorithms are built also for tracking internal discontinuities, which imitate macro cracks. There are algorithms, which use local remeshing and introduce additional nodes in the parent cells containing damage interfacial boundary ( Gridneva, Nemirovich-Danchenko, 1983; Larsson, Runesson, 1993, Fleming et al. 1997; Rashid, 1998; Daux et al., 2000; Dolbow et al., 2001; Duarte et al., 2001), and algorithms, which shrink damaged cells by shifting its nodes onto crossing interfacial surface (Gulidov et al., 1982; Kiselev, Kabak, 1990; Moes et al., 1999). Overview and description of such algorithms can be found in (Fomin et al., 1999).

## 2. Lagrangian capturing contact algorithms

Lagrangian capturing contact algorithms assume continuous solution at interfacial boundaries and use so called ideal contact model.

Simplest variant of "ideal contact" algorithm uses united Lagrangian grid in contacting bodies with common nodes at interfacial boundary. This technique gives acceptable solution for contact with no slip and connection/disconnection. Actually any grid based code can be easily used to model some cases of ideal contact.

In order to simulate possible contact discontinuities in a frame of united grid strategy the buffer layer algorithms are developed. These algorithms use predetermined intermediate buffer cells between contacting bodies (Chaboussi et al., 1973; Fridriksson, 1976; Michailovski, Mroz, 1976; Pozdnjakov, 1979; Desai et al., 1984; Nikishkov, Pashnin, 1986; Nikishkov, 1988) and many others. Review can be found in (Zernin et al. 2002). This technique simulates slip and connection/disconnection of contact boundaries properly for small deformations.

For large deformations and strongly variable contact zone buffer layer technique fails and its farther development leads to already considered general Lagrangian tracking algorithms with automated generation of contact pairs.

Internal contact discontinuities can appear if phase transitions take place. Interface capturing techniques for such processes are based on unified constitutive equations, which describe the phase transition and phase behavior. Good example is given by numerical implementations of continuous damage constitution models, which simulate growing macro cracks and strain localization in solids (Maenchen, Sack, 1964; Lemaitre, 1996; Tomita, 1994; Kukudzhanov et al., 1995; Benaroya, 1996; Bourago et al., 2000; Bourago, Kuku-dzhanov, 2002a).

## 3. Eulerian interface tracking algorithms

In many problems, such as problems with opened boundaries, with extremely large deformations or with variable topological properties, Lagrangian description of motion becomes not comfortable while Eulerian algorithms appear more suitable. In Eulerian contact algorithms solution is continuous and possible interfacial singularities (shocks) are simulated as high gradient narrow zones.

Eulerian interface tracking algorithms use Lagrangian particles or markers to track interfacial boundaries. To implement dynamic boundary conditions such algorithms connect boundary markers (particles) into Lagrangian cells to calculate local interfacial vector basis and curvature. Motion of markers is calculated using material velocity definition. Variants of such algorithms are presented by (Harlow, 1964; Noh, 1964; Welch et al. 1965; Nickols, 1973) for fluids and by (Kalmykov, Kukudzhanov, 1992; Fomin et al., 1999) for elastic plastic solids. Coordinates are the only characteristics of markers while particles transfer mass, momentum, energy and other material properties and therefore with particles there are no difficulties with convective terms. Instead there are difficulties with lack of particles and markers in zones of strong extension.

## 4. Eulerian interface capturing algorithms

Discrete marker techniques strike with difficulties in cases when dynamic boundary conditions should be taken into account and accurate calculation of normal, tangential vectors and curvature of the interface is required. These difficulties are conjugated with necessity to track the boundary Lagrangian markers (particles) and cells in cases of fragmentation or merging boundary surfaces or large boundary distortions.

To overcome these difficulties the concept of continuous Lagrangian marker functions is used. Any continuous Lagrangian marker function is subjected to transport equation and keeps constant value along Lagrangian tracks. Interface boundary is tracked as contour surface, which responds to definite level of marker function. Local surface basis vectors and curvature are defined by using the derivatives of marker function.

Various implementations of continuous marker concept can be found in (Erlich, 1958; Oleinik, 1960; Samarskii, Moiseenko, 1965; Belotserkovskii, Davydov, 1982; Rvachev et al., 1980, 1995; Hirt, Nickols, 1981; Thompson, 1986; Brackbill et al., 1988; Sussmen et al., 1999; Sethian, 1999; Enright et al., 2002; Osher, Fedkiv, 2002; Benson, 2002). Osher and Sethian have chosen distance to interface as a continuous marker in their level set method. In contrast to many relevant methods which use Heaviside marker functions there are no trouble with interfacial diffusion. In most Eulerian algorithms conservation laws are violated near interfacial boundaries, so a control and special corrections are needed.

## 5. Adaptive grids and shock capturing

It was mentioned that interfacial boundaries are zones of high gradients, therefore shock capturing techniques and adaptive grid methods can be used to improve an accuracy of numerical implementations of contact algorithms.

Reviews of shock capturing techniques presented in most of modern books on Computational Fluid Dynamics, for instance, very fresh survey can be found in (Kulikovskii, Pogorelov, Semenov, 2001).

Reviews on adaptive grid refinement and adaptive moving grid techniques can be found in handbook on grid generation (Tompson, Soni, Weatherill (Eds.), 2000) and in recent monographs (Carey, 1997; Ivanenko, 1998; Liseikin, 1999; Gil'manov, 2000). See also reviews in (Li, Bettess, 1997; Mikolajczak et al., 2000).

## 6. Meshless methods

To avoid problems induced by Lagrangian grid distortions and simultaneously to stay in the frame of Lagrangian approach Belytschko et al. (1994, 1996) proposed the Element Free Galerkin (EFG) method with an accurate numerical integration and accurate treatment of essential boundary conditions. Liu et al., (1996) developed the relevant Reproducing Kernel Particle Method (RKPM), which was further extended to highly nonlinear hyperelasticity by Chen et al. (2001).

It should be mentioned that first attempts to create meshless Lagrangian algorithms (free Lagrange methods) have been made in (Pasta, Ulam, 1959; Ulam, 1964; Djachenko, 1967,

1973; Glagoleva et al., 1972; Anuchina et al., 1980; Monaghan, 1982). Review presented in (Li, Liu, 2002).

The intention to avoid grid generation problems has been implemented also in boundary element (integral) contact algorithms, reviewed in (Goldshtein, Spector, 1986; Aliabadi, 1997; Eck, Wedland, 1999). In case of nonlinear formulation boundary element method requires external iterations and volume integral calculations. This results in the loss of advantage of using only surface grid and creates additional difficulties due to bad convergence of external iterations.

## 7. Vectorization and parallelization of contact algorithms

Vectorization of Lagrangian contact algorithms is not effective because they operate with vectors of much smaller length compared to the total grid node number. Vectorization of contact algorithms considered in (Hallquist, 1976, 1998; Bourago, Kukudzhanov, 1988; Ginberg, Katnik, 1989).

Parallelization of Lagrangian contact algorithms is reviewed in (Oishi, 1999; Brown et al., 2000). Highest achievement in efficiency of parallelization is described in (Attaway et al., 2001).

## 8. Specialized contact algorithms.

Contact friction is usually simulated in accordance with 1) modified Coulomb friction law (Michailovski, Mroz, 1985; Wriggers et al., 1990) which takes into account dependence of friction forces on contact pressure, displacement jump and material properties of contacting medii, and 2) dynamic friction law (Oden, Martins, 1985), which takes into account dependence of friction forces on contact velocity jump. Review of contact friction laws can be found in (Kalker, 1990; Bhushan, 1996; Gorjacheva, 1998).

Contact algorithms are used as a variant of grid generation strategy to implement of ideal contact conditions on non-matching interfacial meshes at the subdomain fictitious boundaries in cases of complex geometry. Such policy provides continuous solution without grid adjustment at the artificial interfaces (Bazhenov et al., 1984, 1995; Park et al., 2000; Felippa et al., 2001).

Many practical problems deal with multiple interfacial boundaries. Examples are layered and block structured medii, composites, cavitation and bubbles in fluid flows, polycrystal growth and many others. Explicit tracking techniques fail to solve such problems even if high performance supercomputers are used. Mentioned above

Lagrangian and Eulerian interface capturing techniques can be very useful for such problems, see for instance multiphase contact algorithms in (Udaykumar et al., 1999; Kunugi, 2002).

With further increase of a number of interfacial boundaries another policy becomes more useful and efficient: averaging constitutive models. Examples of such models are given by theories of layered and block medii (Nikitin, 1989), consolidation (Riedel, Sun, 1992), damage (Lemaitre, 1996; Bourago et al., 2000; Kondaurov, 2001), phase transitions (Kondaurov, 2002).

Shape design optimization contact algorithms are used to prevent appearance of non desirable contact reaction peaks, which can effect the functionality of technical devices. Linear programming techniques applied in (Conry, Seireg 1971; Haug, Kwak, 1978), non-linear programming finite element algorithms presented in (Cheng et al., 1988; Belegundu, Chandrupatla, 1980; Clarke, 1983; Tada, Nishihara, 1993; Park, Anderson, 1995; Fancello, 1995; Xie, Stiven, 1997;). Fresh reviews can be found in (Seireg, Rodriguez, 1997; Chen et al., 2001).

Contact algorithms for animation use kinematic approaches. In these methods, the impenetrability constraint is satisfied by heuristic techniques, often requiring extensive and boring user control and interaction to produce the desired effects of satisfactory quality. Simple contact tracking algorithms reviewed in (Bechmann, 1994; Lewis et al., 2000) do not follow physical laws.

Contact algorithms for biomedical applications present farther motion towards mechanics. These algorithms serve to model the processes and results of surgery operations. Reviews of such algorithms, which already take mechanical laws into account, are made in (Gourret et al., 1989; Hirota et al., 2001)

Here we give links to bibliography collections on contact algorithms for: geomechanics (Moresi, 2002), free and moving boundaries for Hele-Shaw and Stokes flow (Gillow, Howison, 2002), moving-free boundary for heat-diffusion and Stefan problem (Tarzia, 1988; Florian, Rasmussen, 1989; Shyy et al. 2001), cavitation problems (Wikstrom, 2000), cloth behavior modeling (Baraff, Witkin, 1998).

## **8. Error estimate, testing and critical analysis of contact algorithms**

All contact algorithms give only approximate solutions. Not so many works are devoted to error estimates, testing, comparison and critical analysis of contact algorithms. Here is the script of such studies found: (Lee et al., 1991; Lee, Oden, 1993a, 1993b; 1994; Cvetkova, 1995; Christiansen et al., 1998; Sharif, Wiberg, 2001).

Comparison of different algorithms is not simple because results depend on quality of code and on undocumented features of contact algorithms. Unfortunately, quite rare studies compare codes which are made by one and the same group of scientists by using one and the same computing "kitchen", while exactly that kind of comparison can give most valuable and definite results. It is so because very often some negligible detail of the algorithm, which never is mentioned in papers or reports, can play important role in success of the modeling. Mostly it happens because each algorithm has a great number of consistent parts and at the same time there is no unique way of their implementation.

A good example of comparison analysis of contact algorithms is presented in (Rider, Kothe, 1995) on numerical modeling of two-phase flow. Four different test problems are used for evaluation of interface tracking methods: simple translation, solid body rotation, a single vortex and a complex deformation field. The methods studied are: variant of marker particle method (Brackbill et al., 1988), variant of volume-of-fluid (VOF) method (Kothe, Rider, 1994), level set method (Sussman et al., 1999) and monotone slope-limited shock

capturing technique (Colella, Woodward, 1984).

By the quality of results the methods are placed in the above order. The only method that remained robust was the marker particle method. After analysis of possible improvements the new method was created: Hybrid Particle Level Set Method (HPLS, see Enright et al., 2002). Authors claim the it accumulates the best features of discrete and continuous markers and successfully passes all mentioned tests.

## Conclusive remarks

Big number of various contact algorithms show that they are not ideal and each has its own advantages and drawbacks. Anyone should be very careful in judgements about quality of existing contact algorithms and their ability to solve a variety of problems. Symptoms of overestimating have been very well illustrated by N. Johnson (1996). He collected a number of following rather typical advertising declarations, which everyone can hear rather often from CFD code developers:

- "It will solve your problem without modifications."
- "The manual has everything you need to run the code."
- "Standardized graphics output, compatible with third party post-processors."
- "Minimal learning curve."
- "Executable on all machines with no modifications"
- "Robust and accurate."
- "All physics are compatible."
- "User friendly."
- "There are no more bugs in the code, only undocumented features."
- "You can run the code without the manual."
- "The technique was first developed here."

No comments required.

For more information on contact algorithms read our extended version of current survey (Bourago, Kukudzhanov, 2002), which contains historical issues and more detailed analysis of about 600 works on contact algorithms.

This work was supported by Russian Fund of Basic Research, grants No. 01-01-00659 and 01-00-00159.

## References

- [1] Alexandrov V.M., Vorovich I.I. (Eds.) (2001) Mechanics of contact interactions, Moscow, Nauka, 2001, P. 1-600 [in Russian].
- [2] Aliabadi M.H. (1997) Boundary element formulations in fracture mechanics. AMR 50(2) 83-96 (Feb 1997).
- [3] Anuchina N.N., Babenko K.I., Godunov S.K. et al. Theoretical basement and design of numerical algorithms for problems in mathematical physics. / Moscow, Nauka, 1979 [in Russian].



- [4] Attaway S.W., Barragy E.J., Brown K.H. et al. (2001) Transient Solid Dynamics Simulations on the Sandia/Intel Teraflop Computer, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, Report NM 87185-0437.
- [5] Baraff, D., and Witkin, A., Large steps in cloth simulation. Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 98, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series, 1998, pp. 43-54.
- [6] Bazhenov V.G., Zefirov S.V., Petrov M.V. Numerical solution of non-stationary contact problems for elastic-plastic shells of revolution under large deformations, Appl. Problems of Strength and Plasticity, Gorkij, Gork. Univ., 1984, P. 54-59 [in Russian].
- [7] Bazhenov V.G., Kibec A.I., Cvetkova I.N. Numerical modeling of non-stationary processes of impact interactions of deformable structure elements, Problems for Mashinery and Reliability, 1995, N. 2, P. 20-26 [in Russian].
- [8] Bechmann, D., Space deformation models survey. Computer & Graphics, 18(4), pp. 571-586, 1994.
- [9] Belotserkovskii O. M., Davydov Yu. M. Large Particle Method in Gasdynamics, Moscow, Nauka, 1982.
- [10] Belytschko, T. and Yeh L.S. (1993) The splitting pinball method for contact-impact problems, Comput-Methods- Appl. Mech. Engrg. 105, p. 375-393
- [11] Belytschko, T., Lu Y.Y., and Gu, L. (1994) Element-Free Galerkin Methods, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng., vol. 37, pp. 229-256,
- [12] Belytschko, T., Kronggauz, Y., Organ, D. and Fleming, M. (1996) "Meshless Methods: An Overview and Recent Developments," Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng., vol. 139, pp. 3-47.
- [13] Benaroya H. (Ed.) (1996) Localization and effects of irregularities in structures. Special Issue edited by H Benaroya. AMR 49(2) 55-135.
- [14] Benson D.J. (1992) Computational methods in Lagrangian and Eulerian hydrocodes, Comput. Meth. in Appl. Mech. Engng., v.99, P. 235-394. vol. 139, pp. 3-47.
- [15] Benson D.J. (2002) Volume of fluid interface reconstruction methods for multi-material problems. AMR, v. 55(2), P. 151-165 (Mar 2002).
- [16] Bhushan B. (1996) Contact mechanics of rough surfaces in tribology: Single asperity contact. AMR 49(5) 275-298 (May 1996).
- [17] Bourago N.G. Formulation of Continuum Mechanics Equations in Moving Adaptive Coordinates, Numer. Methods in Solid Mechanics, Moscow, Computing Centre of Acad. Sci. of USSR, 1984, P. 32-49 [in Russian].
- [18] Bourago N.G. Method for calculation of contact interactions of elastic plastic bodies, Proc. of 8th Russian Symposium on elastic plastic waves propagation, Novosibirsk, "Nauka", 1987, pp. 18-25 [in Russian].

- [19] Bourago N.G., Kukudzhanov V.M. Numerical solution of elastic plastic problems by FEM. Acad. of Sci. of USSR, Preprint of the Institute for Problems in Mechanics, N. 326, 1988, P. 1-63 [in Russian].
- [20] Bourago N.G., Glushko A.I., Kovshov A.N. Thermodynamical Method for Deriving Constitutive Equations in Continuum Mechanics, Izvestia RAS, Mechanics of Solids, 2000, N. 6, P. 4-15.
- [21] Bourago N.G. (2001) Utilization of moving grids for nonstationary nonlinear problems in solid and fluid dynamics. Application to simulation of natural phenomena and technological processes. Int. Conf. "Optimization of finite element approximations, splines and wavelets", St.-Petersburg, Russia, June, 2001. Available at <http://www.ipmnet.ru/~burago/papers/grid1.htm>.
- [22] Bourago N.G., Kukudzhanov V.N. (2002a) Calculation of Continuous Damage of Thermo-Elastic-Plastic Bodies, Appl. Problems of Strength and Plasticity, Moscow, Nauka, 2002, N. 4. P. 11-17 [in Russian].
- [23] Bourago N.G., Kukudzhanov V.N. (2002b) Survey on contact algorithms. Report of the Institute for Problems in Mechanics of Russian Acad. Sci., 2002 [in Russian]. Available at <http://www.ipmnet.ru/~burago/papers/contact.htm>.
- [24] Brackbill J.U., Kothe D.B., Ruppel H.M. (1988) FLIP: A low dissipation, particle-in-cell method for fluid flow, Computer Physics Communication, 48:25-38, 1988.
- [25] Brown, K., Attaway, S., Plimpton, S., Hendrickson, B., Parallel strategies for crash and impact simulations. Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering 184, pp. 375-390, 2000.
- [26] Bychek (Sadovskaja) O.V., Sadovski V.M. Investigation of dynamical contact of deformable bodies, Appl. Math. and Techn. Phys., 1998, V. 39, N. 4, P. 167-173.
- [27] Carey G. Computational grids: Generation, Adaptation and Solution Strategy. Taylor & Francis, Florida, 1997.
- [28] Chen J.S., Wu C.T., Yoon S., You Y. Stabilized conforming nodal integration for Galerkin meshfree methods, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Eng., 2001, v. 50, pp. 435-466.
- [29] Cheng, W.Q., Zhu, F.W. and Luo, J.W. "Computational Finite Element Analysis and Optimal Design for Multibody Contact System", Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng., 1988, Vol. 71, pp.31-39.
- [30] Christiansen, P.W., Klarbring, A., Pang, J.S. and Stromberg, N. (1998) Formulation and comparison of algorithms for frictional contact problems. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 42:145-173.
- [31] Colella P., Woodward P. The piecewise parabolic method for gas-dynamical problems, J. Comp. Phys., 1984, 106: 319-336.
- [32] Conry T.F., Seireg A. A Mathematical Programming Method for Design of Elastic Bodies in Contact", ASME Transactions J. Appl. Mech., 1971, pp.387 -392.

- [33] Cvetkova I.N. Analysis of accuracy of contact algorithms in three-dimensional dynamical problems for elastic plastic bodies. Vestnik Nizhegor. State Univ., 1995, P. 93-95 [in Russian].
- [34] Daux C., Moes N., Dolbow J., Sukumar N., Belytschko T. Arbitrary branched and intersecting cracks with the extended finite element method. Int. J. Numer. Methods Engng., 2000, 48, pp. 1741-1760.
- [35] Desai, S., Zaman, M.M., Lightner, J.G., Siriwardane, H.J. (1984) Thin-layer element for interfaces and joints, Int. J. of Analytical and Numerical in Geomechanics, 8, 1984, 19-43.
- [36] Diekmann, R., Hungershofery, J., Lux, M., Taenzer, L., Wierumy, J.-M. (2000) Using Space Filling Curves for Efficient Contact Searching, 16th IMACS World Congress.
- [37] Djachenko V.F. About one new method of numerical solution for non-stationary problems in gasdynamics with two spatial variables, Comput.Maths.Math,Phys., 1965, 5, N. 4, P. 680-688 [in Russian].
- [38] Djachenko V.F. (1973) The free point method for problems of continuous media, Comp. meth. Appl. Mech. Engng., 1973, N. 2.
- [39] Dolbow J., Moes N., Belytschko T. (2001) An extended finite element method for modeling crack growth with frictional contact. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engng. 190, pp. 6825-6846.
- [40] Duarte C.A., Hamzeh O.N., Lyszka T.J., Tworzzydlo W.W. (2001) A generalized finite element method for the simulation of three-dimensional dynamic crack propagation, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engng. 190, P. 2227-2262.
- [41] Eck, C. and Wendland, W.L. (1999) An adaptive boundary element method for contact problems. In M. Bonnet, A.-M. Sandig, W. L. Wendland (eds.) Mathematical Aspects of Boundary Element Method, 116-127,
- [42] Ehrlich L.W. A numerical method of solving a heat flow problem with moving boundary. J. Assoc. Comput Machinery, 1958, 5, N.2, 161-176.
- [43] Enright D., Redkiw R., Ferziger J., Mitchell I. (2002) A Hybrid Particle Level Set Method for Improved Interface Capturing, dated by March, 21, 2002, in printing. Available at <http://graphics.stanford.edu/~fedkiw/papers/stanford2001-04.pdf>
- [44] Fancello, E.A., Haslinger, J. and Feijoo, R.A. (1995) Numerical Comparison Between Two Cost Functions in Contact Shape Optimization, Structural Optimization, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp.57-68.
- [45] Felippa C.A., Park K.C. and Farhat C. (2001) Partitioned analysis of coupled mechanical systems,Invited Plenary Lecture,Fourth World Congress in Computational Mechanics, Buenos Aires,Argentina,July 1998,expanded version in Comp.Meths.Appl.Mech. Engng.,190 ,3247-3270,2001.
- [46] Fleming M.Y., Chu A., Moran B., Belytschko T. Enriched element-free Galerkin methods for singular fields, Int. J. Numer. Methods Engng., 1997, 40, pp. 1483-1504.

- [47] Floryan J.M. and Rasmussen H. (1989) Numerical algorithms for viscous flows with moving boundaries. AMR 42(12) 323-341 (Dec 1989)
- [48] Fomin V. M., Gulidov A.I., Sapozhnikov G.A. et al. High-speed interaction of bodies, Novosibirsk, SD RAS, 1999, P. 1-600 [in Russian].
- [49] Fux I.I. About one method for two-dimensional dynamic contact problems for elastic plastic bodies, Appl. Problems of Strength and Plasticity, V. 3, Gorkii Univ., Gorkii, 1976, P. 78-81 [in Russian].
- [50] Gillow K.A., Howison S.D. A bibliography on free and moving boundary problems for Hele-Shaw and Stokes Flow, Available at Web: <http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~howison/Hele-Shaw>.
- [51] Gil'manov A.N. Methods of Adaptive Meshes in Gas Dynamic Problems, Moscow, Nauka, Fizmatlit, 2000, P. 1-247 [in Russian].
- [52] Ginsberg, M. and Katnik, R.B. (1989) Improving Vectorization of a Crashworthiness Code, SAE Technical Paper No. 891985, Passenger Car Meeting and Explosion, Dearborn, MI.
- [53] Glagoleva Yu.P., Zhogov V.M., Kirianov Yu.F. et al. Basics of method "MEDUZA". Numer. methods in continuum mechanics, Novosibirsk, 1972, V. 3, N. 2 [in Russian].
- [54] Godunov S.K., Zabrodin, A.V., Ivanov M.J., Krajko A.N., Prokopov G.P. Numerical Solution of Multidimensional Problems in Gasdynamics, Moscow, Nauka, 1976 [in Russian].
- [55] Goldshtein R.V., Spector A.A. Variational Methods for Solution and Analysis of Spatial Contact and Mixed Problems with Friction, Mechanics of Deformable Body, Moscow, Nauka, 1986, P. 52-73 [in Russian].
- [56] Goryacheva, I.G. (1998) Contact Mechanics in Tribology, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998, 344 p.
- [57] Gourret, J.-P., Thalmann, N.M., Thalmann, D., Simulation of object and human skin deformations in a grasping task. Proc. of SIGGRAPH 89, Computer Graphics, 23, 4.
- [58] Gridneva V.A., Nemirovich-Danchenko M.M. Grid Node Separation Method for Numerical Calculation of Damage in Solids, Tomsk State Univ., Tomsk, 1983, VINITI, 1983, N. 3258-83 [in Russian].
- [59] Gulidov A.I., Fomin V.M., Shabalin I.I. Algorithm of Remeshing for Numerical Solution of Impact Problems with Developing Cracks, Numer. Methods for Elastic and Plastic Problems, Proc. VIIth Russian conf., Novosibirsk, 1982, P. 182-192 [in Russian].
- [60] Gulidov A.I., Shabalin I.I. Numerical implementation of boundary conditions in dynamic contact problems, Novosibirsk, 1987, P. 1-37 [in Russian]. (Preprint of ITPM SO AN USSR, N. 12-87).

- [61] Gulidov A.I., Shabalin I.I. Calculation of contact boundaries with friction under dynamic interaction of deformable bodies. Numer. methods for elastic and plastic problems, Proc. IX Russian conf., Novosibirsk, 1988, P. 70-75 [in Russian].
- [62] Gulidov A.I., Shabalin I.I. Method of free elements, Novosibirsk, Preprint of the Institute of Theor. and Appl. Mech. Sib. otd. RAS, 1994, N. 9-94, P. 1-32 [In Russian].
- [63] Hallquist, J.O. (1976) A Procedure for the Solution of Finite Deformation Contact-Impact Problems by the Finite Element Method, University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Rept. UCRL-52066.
- [64] Hallquist J.O. (1998), LS-DYNA Theoretical Manual, Livermore Software Technology Corporation.
- [65] Harlow F.H. Numerical method of Particles in Cell for Hydrodynamics, Comput. Methods in Hydrodynamics, Moscow, Mir, 1967, P. 316-342 [in Russian].
- [66] Haug, E.J. and Kwak, B.M. (1978) Contact Stress Minimization by Contour Design, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng., Vol.12, pp. 917-930.
- [67] Hirota, G., Fisher, S., State, A., Lee, C. and Fuchs, H. (2001) An Implicit Finite Element Method for Elastic Solids in Contact, SIGGRAPH 2001 Conf, Available at [http://www.cs.unc.edu/~andrei/-pubs/2001\\_ComputerAnimation\\_FEM.pdf](http://www.cs.unc.edu/~andrei/-pubs/2001_ComputerAnimation_FEM.pdf)
- [68] Hirt C.W., Nickols B.D. (1981) Volume of Fluid (VOF) method for the dynamics of free boundaries, J. Comput. Physics, Vol. 39, pp. 201-225.
- [69] Hughes, T.J.R., Taylor, R.L., Sackman, J.L., Curnier, A., Kanoknukulchai, W. (1976) A finite element method for a class of contact-impact problems. Computer Meth. in Applied Mech. and Engng (CMAME), v. 8, pp. 249-276.
- [70] Hyman J.M. (1984) Numerical methods for tracking interfaces, Physics, D12, pp. 396-407.
- [71] Johnson, N.L., Legacy and future of CFD at Los Alamos, Canadian CFD Conference Ottawa, Canada, June 3-4, 1996; Technical Report of Los Alamos National Lab., LA-UR-96-1426, pp. 1-20, available at [http://gnarly.lanl.gov/History/CFD\\_paper\\_6.24.96.pdf](http://gnarly.lanl.gov/History/CFD_paper_6.24.96.pdf) and at <http://t3.lanl.gov/secondlevel/history/viewgraphs.pdf>.
- [72] Kalker, J.J. (1990) Three-Dimensional Elastic Bodies in Rolling Contact, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [73] Kalmykov S.G., Kukudzhanov V.N. Method of finite volumes and correction markers (MAC) for numerical modeling high-speed impacts of solids. Moscow, Preprint of the Institute for problems in Mech. of Russian Acad. Sci., (IPM RAS), 1993, N. 529, P. 1-37 [in Russian].
- [74] Kikuchi, N., Oden, J.T. (1986) Contact Problems in Elasticity: A study of variational inequalities and finite element methods. SIAM Studies in Applied and Numerical Mathematics, 8, Philadelphia, PA, 1986.

- [75] Kiselev A.B., Kabak N.E. (1990) Method for grid generation with tracking of internal contact boundaries, *Modeling in Mechanics*, 1990, V. 4, N. 5, P. 96-110 [in Russian].
- [76] Kondaurov V.I., Petrov I.B., Holodov .. (1984) Numerical modeling of rigid body penetration into elastic plastic media, *Appl. Math. Techn. Phys.*, N. 4, P. 132-139 [in Russian].
- [77] Kondaurov V.I. Tensorial model for damage and fatigue of elastic bodies, *Izvestia RAS, Mechanics of Solids*, 2001.
- [78] Kondaurov V.I. Thermomechanics of Phase Transitions of the First Order in Solids // *Russian Journal of Earth Science*, 2002, Vol. 4 No.2, P. 1-18.
- [79] Korobeinikov S.N., *Nonlinear deformation of solids*, Novosibirsk, Siberian Division of RAS, 2000, P. 1-261.
- [80] Korneev A.I., Shugalev V.B. Numerical calculation of three-dimensional stress state..., *Izvestia RAS, Mechanics of Solids*, 1986, N. 1, P. 189-192 [in Russian].
- [81] Kothe D.B., Rider W.J. (1994) Comments on modelling interfacial flows with volume-of-fluid method, Technical report LA-UR-3384, Los Alamos National Lab., 1994. Available at <http://www.c3.lanl.gov/~vjr/pubs.html>.
- [82] Kothe D., Juric D., Lam K., Lally B. Numerical recipes for mold filling simulation, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 87545, USA, 1998 (in B. Thomas, C. Beckermann (Eds.) *Modeling of Casting, Welding and Advanced Solidification Processes VIII*, New York, 1998, TMS Publishers. Available at <http://www.lanl.gov/energy/est/transportation/trans/pdfs/-materials/NUMREC.PDF>)
- [83] Kravchuk A.S. (1997) Variational and quasivariational inequalities in mechanics, Moscow, Moscow State Acad. of Informatics, 1997, P. 1-339 [in Russian].
- [84] Kukudzhanov V.N. Numerical modeling of dynamical deformation and damage processes in elastic plastic medii, *Achieves in Mech.*, 1985, V. 8, N. 4, P. 25-65.
- [85] Kukudzhanov, V.N., Bourago, N.G., Glushko, A.I., Kovshov, A.N., Ivanov, V.L., Shneiderman, D.I. (1995) On the problem of damage and localization of strains", *Chalmers Univ. of Tech., Dept. of Struct. Mech.*, publ.95:11, Goteborg, Sweden, 35pp.
- [86] Kulikovskii A.G., Pogorelov N.V., Semenov A.Yu. (2001) *Mathematical Aspects of Numerical Solution of Hyperbolic systems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, London, Boca Raton, 2001.
- [87] Kunugi T. MARS for multiphase flow, Kyoto Univ., 2002, P. 1-10. Available at Web: <http://www.nucleng.kyoto-u.ac.jp/Groups/F-group/gallery/pdf/iscfd13.pdf>
- [88] Larsson, R. and Runesson, K. (1993) Discontinuous displacement approximation for capturing plastic localization, *Int. J. Num. Meth. Engng*, v.36, 2087-2105, 1993.
- [89] Laursen, T.A. (2002), *Computational Contact and Impact Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (publication expected in early 2002).

- [90] Lee, C.Y., Oden, J.T., Ainsworth, M. (1991) Local a posteriori error estimates and numerical results for contact problems and problems of flow through porous media, in: P. Wriggers, W. Wagner (Eds.), *Nonlinear Computational Mechanics*, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 671-689.
- [91] Lee, C.Y. and Oden, J.T. (1993a) A priori error estimation of hp-finite element approximations of frictional contact problems with normal compliance. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 31:927-952.
- [92] Lee, C.Y. and Oden, J.T. (1993b) Theory and approximation of quasi-static frictional contact problems. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 106:407-429.
- [93] Lee, C.Y. and Oden, J.T. (1994) A-posteriori error estimation of hp finite-element approximations of frictional contact problems. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 113:11-45.
- [94] Lemaitre, J. (1996) *A course on Damage Mechanics*. Springer, Berlin, 2nd ed.
- [95] Lewis, J.P., Cordero, M., Fong, N., Pose space deformation: a unified approach to shape interpolation and skeleton-driven deformation. *Proceedings of SIGGRAPH 2000, Computer Graphics Proceedings, Annual Conference Series*, 2000, pp. 165-172.
- [96] Li L-y. and Bettess P. (1997) Adaptive finite element methods: A review. *AMR* 50(10) 581-591 (Oct 1997).
- [97] Li S., Liu W.K. (2002) Meshfree and particle methods and their applications. *AMR*, v. 55(1) P. 1-34 (Jan 2002)
- [98] Lions J.-L. (1978) The work of Stampacchia in variational inequalities, *Boll. Unione mat. Ital.* 1978, A15, No. 3, P. 736-756.
- [99] Liseikin V.D. *Grid Generation Methods*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1999.
- [100] Liu, W.K., Chen, Y., Uras, R.A. and Chang, C.T. (1996) Generalized Multiple Scale Reproducing Kernel Particle Methods, *Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Engng.*, vol. 139, pp. 91-158.
- [101] Maenchen G., Sack S. The TENSOR code. in "Methods in Computational Physics", vol. 3, *Fundamental methods in Hydrodynamics*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [102] Michalowski R. and Mroz, Z. (1978) Associated and nonassociated sliding rules in contact friction problems, *Arch. Mech.*, 30, P. 259-276.
- [103] Mikolajczak A., Rassineux A., Dufoss F., Kromer V. (2000) A finite element procedure of contact problems based on a remeshing of the contact zone, *European Congress on Comput. Methods in Appl. Sci. Engng, ECCOMAS 2000, Barcelona*, pp. 1-15.
- [104] Moes N., Dolbow J., Belytschko T. (1999) A finite element method for crack growth without remeshing, *Int. J. Numer. Methods Engng.* 46, pp. 131-150.

- [105] Monaghan, J. J. (1982) "Why Particle Methods Work," *SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput.*, 3 (4), pp. 422-433.
- [106] Moresi L., Muhlous H., Dufour F. (2001) An overview of numerical methods for Earth simulations. Available at <http://www.ned.dem.csiro.au/research/solidMech/Geodynamics/ChapmanConference/AbstractsReceived/AbstractFiles/Moresi-et-al.pdf>
- [107] Nikitin I.A. Dynamics of layered and block medii with slip and friction, Preprint of the Institute for Problems in Mechanics of Russian Acad. Sci., Moscow, 1989, N. 366, P. 1-43 [in Russian].
- [108] Noh V.F. Mixed Eulerian-Lagrangian method for nonstationary two-dimensional problems, *Comput. Meth. in Hydrodynamics*, Moscow, Mir, 1967, P. 128-184.
- [109] Oden, J.T. and Martins, J.A.C. (1985) Models and computational methods for dynamic frictional phenomena, *CMAME*(52), 527-634.
- [110] Oishi, A. (1999) Large-scale dynamic analyses with contact-impact using the hierarchical domain decomposition method, Annual report of Adventure Project ADV-99-1, Tokushima, p. 1-23.
- [111] Oldenburg, M. and Nilsson, L. (1994), The position code algorithm for contact searching, *Int. J. for Numer.Meth.Engng.*, 37, pp.359-386.
- [112] Oleinik O.A. About one method for general Stefan problem. *Dokl. AS USSR*, 1960, V. 135, N. 5 P. 1054-1057 [in Russian].
- [113] Osher S.J., Tryggvason G. (2001) Preface, *J. Comput. Phys. (JCP)*, v. 169, No. 2, pp. 249-249 (Special issue of JCP on methods for multiphase flows).
- [114] Osher, S. and Fedkiw, R. (2002) *The Level Set Method and Dynamic Implicit Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [115] Park J., Anderson W.J. (1995) Geometric Optimization in Presence of Contact Singularities, *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 33, No. 8, pp. 1503-1509.
- [116] Park K.C., Felippa C.A. and Rebel G. (2000) A simple algorithm for localized construction of nonmatching structural interfaces, Center for Aerospace Structures, Report No. CU-CAS-00-22, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, September 2000; submitted to *Int. J. Numer.Meth.Engng.*.
- [117] Petocz, E. and Armero, F. (1998) A Sorting Contact Detection Algorithm: Formulation and Finite Element Implementation, UCB/SEMM Report 98/06, University of California at Berkeley.
- [118] Pozdnjakov A.A. Method for contact problems. Moscow Phys. Techn. Institute, 1979 [in Russian].
- [119] Rashid M.M. (1998) The arbitrary local mesh refinement method: an alternative to remeshing for crack propagation analysis, *Comput. Methods appl. Mech. Engng.* 154, 133-150.



- [120] Rider W.J., Kothe D.B. (1995) Stretching and Tearing Interface Tracking Methods, Technical Report AIAA - 95-0699, AIAA, 1995 (Available at [www.c3.lanl.gov/~wjr/publ.html](http://www.c3.lanl.gov/~wjr/publ.html)).
- [121] Riedel H. and Sun, D.-Z. (1992) Simulation of die pressing and sintering of powder metals, hard metals and ceramics, Numer. Meth. in Indust. Proc., Chenot, Wood and Zienkiewicz (Eds.), Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 883-886.
- [122] Rvachev V. L., Podgorny A.N., Gontarovskii P. P. et al. Contact problems for structure elements, The Institute for Problems in Mashinery, Kiev, Naukova dumka, 1989, P. 232 [in Russian].
- [123] Rvachev V.L. and Sheiko T.I. (1995) R-functions in boundary value problems in mechanics. AMR 48(4) 151-188 (Apr 1995)
- [124] Sadovskii V.M. Hyperbolic variational inequalities in dynamic problems for elastic-plastic bodies, Appl. Math. Mech., 1991, V. 55, N. 6, P. 1041-1048.
- [125] Sadovskii V.. Discontinuous solutions in dynamic elastic plastic problems, Moscow, Nauka, Fizmatlit, 1997, P. 1-208 [in Russian].
- [126] Samarskii A. A., Moiseenko B. D. Numerical method for multidimensional Stefan problem, Comput. Maths. Math. Phys., V. 5, N. 5, 1965, P. 816-827 [in Russian].
- [127] Seireg, A.A. and Rodriguez, J. (1997) Optimizing the Shape of Mechanical Elements and Structures, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- [128] Sethian J.A. (1999) Level Set Methods and Fast Marching Methods: Evolving Interfaces in Computational Geometry, Fluid Mechanics, Computer Vision, and Materials Science, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- [129] Sharif N.H., Wiberg N.-E. Stationary level set method for modeling sharp interfaces in groundwater flow, Preprint, Chalmers University, Goteborg, 2001. Available at [http://www.phi.chalmers.se/pub/preprints/pdf/-\\_phiprint-2001-06.pdf](http://www.phi.chalmers.se/pub/preprints/pdf/-_phiprint-2001-06.pdf)
- [130] Shyy W., Francois M., Udaykumar H.S., N'dri N. and Tran-Son-Tay R. (2001) Moving boundaries in micro-scale biofluid dynamics, AMR 54(5) 405-453.
- [131] Sussman M. et al. An adaptive level set approach for incompressible two-phase flows, Journal of Computational Physics, 1999, 148:81.
- [132] Tada, Y. and Nishihara, N. (1993) Optimum Shape Design of Contact Surface with Finite Element Methods, Advances in Engineering Software, Vol. 18, pp.75-85.
- [133] Tarzia D.A. A bibliography on moving-free boundary problems for the heat-diffusion Stefan problem. University di Ferenze, Technical Report, 1988.
- [134] Thompson E. (1986) Use of Pseudo-Concentrations To follow Creeping Viscous Flows During Transient Analysis, Int. J. Numer. Meth. Fluids, Vol. 6, pp. 749-761; Proc. of the Third Inter. Conf. Numer. Meth. in Fluid Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 18, Springer Verlag N.Y., pp. 163-173.

- [135] Tomita Y. (1994) Simulations of plastic instabilities in solid mechanics. AMR 47(6) Part 1, 171-205; A. S. Kobayashi (ed) AMR 47(6) Part 2.
- [136] Tompson J.F., Soni B.K., Weatherill N.P. (Eds.) (1999) Handbook of grid generation, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- [137] Udaykumar H.S., Mittal R., Shyy W. Computation of Solid-Liquid Phase Fronts in the Sharp Interface Limit on Fixed Grids, J. Comput. Phys., 1999, V. 153, P. 535-574. Article ID jcph.1999.6294, (<http://www.idealibrary.com>).
- [138] Wang, S.P. and Nakamachi, E. (1997), The inside-outside search algorithm for finite element analysis, Int. J. for Numer. Meth. Engng, 40, pp. 3665-3685.
- [139] Welch, J.E., Harlow, F.H., Shannon, J.P., Daly, B.J. (1965) The MAC method Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report, LA-3425, 1965.
- [140] Wikstrom N. A literature survey aiming to shed some light on the cavitation simulation problem, 2000, available at <http://www.na.chalmers.se/~niklasw/documents/survey.pdf>
- [141] Wilkins M.L. (1964) Calculation of elastic-plastic flow, in "Methods in Computational Physics", vol. 3, Fundamental methods in Hydrodynamics, Academic Press, New York, 211-263.
- [142] Zapparov K.I., Kukudzhanov V.N. Mathematical modeling of pulse interaction and damage of elastic plastic bodies, oscow, 1986, Preprint of the Institute for Problems in Mech., Acad.Sci.of USSR, N. 280. P. 1-67 [in Russian]
- [143] Zernin M.V., Babin A.P., Burak V. Yu. et al. Finite element modeling of contact interaction using formulations of contact pseudo-media mechanics, Izvestia RAS, Mechanics of Solids, 2002, in printing.
- [144] Zhong H., Finite Element Procedures for Contact-Impact Problems, Oxford University Press, 1993.